

RENEWAL NEW - HOUSING

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
---------------	----------------	-----------------

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Projects must meet threshold requirements to be considered for funding. If answers to the following questions are all "yes," move on to the scorecard below.

Agency participates in Coordinated Entry System.* <small>*Not applicable for DV Agencies</small>	No	Yes
Project utilizes a Housing First and/or Low Barrier approach.	No	Yes
Project documented/secured minimum match.	No	Yes
Agency is a CoC participant or has application pending.	No	Yes
Agency has provided an acceptable organizational audit/financial review.* <small>*If your agency does not have an audit please explain why in the narrative.</small>	No	Yes
Agency has documented organizational financial stability.	No	Yes

If all answers to the above questions are "yes," please score the application on the following criteria.

PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

	0	1	2	3		
	Incomplete and/or not submitted on time			Complete and timely submission		
Timely submission of project application and all attachments in esnaps.						3
Timely submission of project proposal packet(s) in accordance with RFP requirements.						3
Proposal Submission Requirements						6

NARRATIVE CRITERIA

Project Aligns with Policy Priorities

	0	1	2	3	4	5		
	Significant deficiencies in response			Full Response				
Describes how project is and will be cost-effective and reports annual cost per exit to (or retention of) permanent housing (Total budget with match/# of persons served).								
							5	
Discusses how project collaborated with or will collaborate with local employment agencies, programs that promote employment, and employers to provide job training and employment opportunities.								
							5	
Discusses how project has assessed and will assess project outcomes related to reductions in length of time homeless, recidivism, improvements in employment/income, increased safety, and increased mental, physical, & social wellbeing.	0	1	2	3	4	5		
							10	
Description of Target Population and Impact	0	1	2	3	4	5		
Describes the project's target population and project impact on overall system performance.								
							5	
CES Participation	0	1	2	3	4	5		
Coordinated Entry Participation proposed at 95% of entries to project from CES referrals and evidence of participation in CES.*	< 50% and no active participation in CES	50 - 94% and some participation in CES					≥ 95% and evidence of regular & active participation in CES	
							5	
CoC Participation	0	1	2	3				
Agency is a current member of Partners in Care.	Not a member	Application pending			Current member			
							3	
Agency participates on PIC Board of Directors, Committees and/or Work Groups.	No participation	Occasional participation			Standing member & regular meeting attendance			
							3	

Funds and Data Management		0	1	2	3	4	5			
Timely draw down of most recent grant expenditures with time expense ratio is ≤ 10% (% of term expired minus % of funds disbursed).	> 30%			30 - 11%				≤ 10%	<input type="text"/>	5
HMIS data quality at or above 90% for consent to share and chronic homelessness.	< 70%	0	1	2	3			≥ 90%	<input type="text"/>	3
Narrative Subtotal									44	

FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE		0	1	2	3					
Project meets state AG's financial reporting standards.	If no, no points can be awarded for this section								<input type="text"/>	
Most recent audit found no exceptions.	>1 exception found		one exception found			no exceptions found			<input type="text"/>	3
Most recent audit identified agency as "low" risk.	> some risk		some risk			low risk			<input type="text"/>	3
Most recent audit indicates no findings.	> 1 finding		1 finding			no findings			<input type="text"/>	3
Documented match amount.	no documented match		match not clearly documented			full match clearly documented			<input type="text"/>	3
Budgeted costs are reasonable	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
	line item costs are not reasonable		line item costs are reasonable			line item costs show savings			<input type="text"/>	8
Financial Performance Subtotal									20	

PROJECT PERFORMANCE MEASURES		0	1	2	3	4	5			
Length of stay										
Time from project entry to residential placement is 15 days (RRH) or 180 days (PSH & TH).	> 45/300 days			16-45/181-300 days				≤ 15/180 days	<input type="text"/>	5
Exits to Permanent Housing										
≥ 90% of participants remain in or move to permanent housing.	≤ 70%			71 - 89%				≥ 90%	<input type="text"/>	5
Recidivism										
≤ 15% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing.	≥ 26%			16 - 25%				≤ 15%	<input type="text"/>	5
New or Increased Income & Earned Income		0		0.50				1		
At least 8% of project stayers received new or increased income.	0%			≤ 4%				≥ 8%	<input type="text"/>	1
At least 10% of project stayers received increased non-employment income.	0%			≤ 5%				≥ 10%	<input type="text"/>	1
At least 8% of exited participants received new or increased income.	0%			≤ 4%				≥ 8%	<input type="text"/>	1
At least 10% of exited participants received increased non-employment income.	0%			≤ 5%				≥ 10%	<input type="text"/>	1
Monitoring & Bed Utilization		0		1	2	3				
Bed/utilization rate at or above 90%.	< 70%			70 - 89%				≥ 90%	<input type="text"/>	3
HUD monitoring findings and corrective action were minimal.	> 2 Findings with corrective action			1 - 2 Findings with corrective action				0 Findings	<input type="text"/>	3
Project Performance Measures Subtotal									25	

SERVICE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS

0 1 2 3 4 5

Provider _____

PARTNERS IN CARE

Evaluator _____

At least 95% of participants have an assessment score that indicates RRH or more intensive intervention (RRH), the participant is at highest end of PSH range (PSH), meet CoC's TH targeting criteria (TH).

≤ 49%

50 - 94%

≥ 95%

5

Service High Need Populations Subtotal

5



TOTAL SCORE

100