

<b>PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS</b>	<b>0</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>Awarded</b>	<b>Max</b>
Timely submission of project application and all attachments in esnaps	Incomplete and/or not submitted on time		Complete and timely submission		5
Timely submission of project proposal packet(s) in accordance with RFP requirements	Incomplete and/or not submitted on time		Complete and timely submission		5
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>PARTICIPATION IN COC</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Awarded</b>	<b>Max</b>
Agency a current member of Partners in Care	Not a current member	Pending membership application	Current member		5
Agency participation on Board of Directors, Committees and Work Groups	No participation	Occasional participation (not a member but occasionally attends meetings)	Agency representative is standing member who regularly attends meetings		5
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>TIMELINESS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>Awarded</b>	<b>Max</b>
Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant . Provides a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60, 120 and 180 days after grant is awarded	no details	limited details	full details		10
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>FINANCIAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Awarded</b>	<b>Max</b>
Project is cost-effective - comparing projected cost per person served to the CoC average within project type and for target population served	in excess of average cost or cost seems unreasonable	reasonable average cost	reasonable and less than average cost		5
Most recent audit found no uncorrected exceptions	>1 exception found	one exception found	no exceptions or all corrected		5
Most recent audit identified agency as "low" risk	> some risk	some risk	low risk		5
Most recent audit indicates no uncorrected findings	> 1 uncorrected finding	1 uncorrected finding	no findings or all findings corrected		5
Documented match amount	no documented match	match not clearly documented	full match clearly documented		5
Budgeted costs are reasonable as compared to similar projects	line item costs are not reasonable	line item costs are reasonable	line item costs show savings		5
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>Awarded</b>	<b>Max</b>
Coordinated Entry Participation proposed at 95% of entries to project from CES referrals and evidence of participation in CES.	<50% and no active participation in CES	>50% and some participation in CES	95%+ and evidence of regular and active participation in CES		30
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>NARRATIVE CRITERIA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Awarded</b>	<b>Max</b>
Project aligned with Policy Priorities outlined in Section IIA of the 2018 NOFA	no description	some description	full and satisfactory description		5
Project meets unmet need in the CoC for housing services	no description	some description	full and satisfactory description		5
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
Experience in effectively utilizing federal funds	no description	some description	full and satisfactory description		10
Experience of applicant and sub-recipients in working with target population and providing housing services	no description	some description	full and satisfactory description		10
Experience in utilizing Housing First approach	no description	some description	full and satisfactory description		10
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
How the design of housing and supportive services will effectively meet the needs of the community	no description	some description	full and satisfactory description		20
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>					<b>150</b>