

<b>PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS</b>	<b>0</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>Awarded</b>	<b>Max</b>
Timely submission of project application and all attachments in esnaps	Incomplete and/or not submitted on time		Complete and timely submission		5
Timely submission of project proposal packet(s) in accordance with RFP requirements	Incomplete and/or not submitted on time		Complete and timely submission		5
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>PARTICIPATION IN COC</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>Awarded</b>	<b>Max</b>
Agency a current member of Partners in Care	Not a current member	Pending application	Current member		8
Agency participation on Board of Directors, Committees and Work Groups	No participation	Occasional participation (not a member but occasionally attends meetings)	Agency representative is standing member who regularly attends meetings		8
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>FINANCIAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Awarded</b>	<b>Max</b>
Project is cost-effective - comparing projected cost per person served to the CoC median cost for similar project types and household types served	in excess of median cost or cost seems unreasonable	reasonable median cost	reasonable and less than median cost		5
Most recent audit found no uncorrected exceptions	>1 exception found	one exception found	no exceptions or all corrected		5
Most recent audit identified agency as "low" risk	> some risk	some risk	low risk		5
Most recent audit indicates no uncorrected findings	> 1 uncorrected finding	1 uncorrected finding	no findings or all findings corrected		5
Documented match amount	no documented match	match not clearly documented	full match clearly documented		5
Budgeted costs are reasonable as compared to similar projects	line item costs are not reasonable	line item costs are reasonable	line item costs show savings		5
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>Awarded</b>	<b>Max</b>
Coordinated Entry Participation proposed at 95% of entries to project from CES referrals and evidence of participation in CES.	<50% and no active participation in CES	>50% and some participation in CES	95%+ and evidence of regular and active participation in CES		30
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>PROJECT PERFORMANCE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>Awarded</b>	<b>Max</b>
HMIS data quality at or above 90% for consent to share and chronic homelessness	<70%	>70%	≥90%		8
Bed/utilization rate at or above 90%	<70%	>70%	≥90%		8
< 15% of participants return to homelessness	>25%	≤25%	< 15%		8
≥ 10% increase in income from employment for project leavers	<5%	≥ 5%	≥ 10%		8
≥ 10% increase in income from other income for project leavers or stayers	<5%	≥ 5%	≥ 10%		8
≥90% remain in or move to PH	<70%	>70%	≥90%		8
HUD Monitoring Findings and Corrective Action	>2 Findings with Corrective Action	≤2 Findings with Corrective Action	0 Findings		8
Projects that have completed a full second year of operation are expected to expend 100% of their grant amount. In addition, any unspent and recaptured amounts are at risk of reallocation by the PIC BOD. Projects in their First Year or partial Second Year shall submit two page spend down history with a description/explanation to be included in the project application that will be rated by the Monitoring and Evaluation Committee and BOD.	<100% or unacceptable rating		100% or acceptable rating		8
<b><i>SUBTOTAL</i></b>				<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>					<b>150</b>